



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,609	02/11/2002	Ernst Rytz	01-732	5092
7590	01/29/2007		EXAMINER	
Bachman & LaPointe Suite 1201 900 Chapel Street New Haven, CT 06510-2802			BLAKE, CAROLYN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.	RYTZ ET AL.
10/018,609	
Examiner	Art Unit
Carolyn T. Blake	3724

All Participants:**Status of Application:** Pending (RCE filed)(1) Carolyn T. Blake.

(3) _____

(2) Ross J. Christie (Reg. No. 47, 492).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 January 2006**Time:** 10:15 AM EST**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


BOYER D. ASHLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Applicant's representative in order to withdraw the Advisory Action mailed December 14, 2006. This action was mailed in error because the RCE filed November 22, 2006 was incorrectly processed. All of the problems have been corrected, and Applicant should expect a response within the next two months.